BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

٧.

Docket No. R-2018-3000164

PECO Energy Company

Rebuttal Testimony

of

JEFFRY POLLOCK

On Behalf of

Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group

July 24, 2018



BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

٧.

Docket No. R-2018-3000164

PECO Energy Company

Table of Contents

GL	LOSSARY OF ACRONYMS	i
RE	BUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JEFFRY POLLOCK	1
	OCA Witness Mr. Johnson	1
	I&E Witness Mr. Kubas	7
	Updated Revised Class Cost-of-Service Study	10
	Conclusion	12



GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

Term	Definition
C&I	Commercial and Industrial
ccoss	Class Cost-of-Service Study
EP	Electric Propulsion
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GS	General Service
НТ	High Tension
I&E	Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
OCA	Office of Consumer Advocate
PAIEUG	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group
PD	Primary Distribution
PECO	PECO Energy Company
R	Residential
RH	Residential Heating



REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JEFFRY POLLOCK

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.			
2	Α	My name is Jeffry Pollock. My business address is 12647 Olive Blvd., Suite 585, St.			
3		Louis, Missouri 63141.			
4	Q	ARE YOU THE SAME JEFFRY POLLOCK WHO PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED			
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON BEHALF OF PHILADELPHIA			
6		AREA INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS GROUP (PAIEUG)?			
7	Α	Yes.			
8	Q	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?			
9	Α	A I address the proposed changes to PECO Energy Company's (PECO's) class cost-of-			
10	service study (CCOSS) sponsored by Mr. Clarence Johnson on behalf of the Office of				
11		Consumer Advocate (OCA) and the proposed scale-back of rates and customer			
12		charges recommended by Mr. Joseph Kubas on behalf of the Bureau of Investigation			
13		and Enforcement (I&E). In addition, I will present the results of the fully revised			
14		CCOSS as discussed in my direct testimony.			
15	<u>OCA</u>	Witness Mr. Johnson			
16	Q	WHAT CHANGES IS MR. JOHNSON PROPOSING TO PECO'S CLASS COST-OF-			
17		SERVICE STUDY?			
18	Α	A Mr. Johnson is proposing four changes:			
19		 Classify all secondary distribution network costs to demand; 			
20 21		 Allocate returned check charges and connection charges on a customer basis; 			



1 2		 Directly assigning the costs of PECO account executives to the large Commercial and Industrial (C&I) classes (i.e., GS, PD, HT and EP); and 				
3		Treat rates R and RH as a single class.				
4	Q	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. JOHNSON'S PROPOSED CHANGES?				
5	Α	No. I do not support any of the changes proposed by Mr. Johnson to PECO's CCOSS.				
6		However, in the interest of brevity, I will address only his proposals to classify all				
7		secondary distribution network costs to demand and to allocate the expenses				
8		associated with PECO account executives to the large C&I classes.				
9	Q	WHAT IS THE DISTRIBUTION NETWORK?				
10	Α	The distribution network consists of PECO's investment in poles, towers, fixtures,				
11		overhead and underground lines and line transformers. These investments are				
12		booked to FERC Account Nos. 364, 365, 366, 367 and 368.				
13	Q	WHAT FACTORS CAUSE A UTILITY TO INVEST IN A DISTRIBUTION NETWORK?				
14	Α	The purpose of the distribution network is to deliver power from the transmission grid				
15		to the customer, where it is eventually consumed. Thus, the central roles of the				
16		distribution network are to:				
17 18		 Provide access to a delivery-ready power grid (i.e., a customer-related cost); and 				
19 20		 Meet customers' peak electrical power needs (i.e., a demand-related cost). 				
21		These prerequisites (i.e., a grid connection with facilities sized to provide voltage				
22		support) are clearly related to the existence of the customer. This is why classifying a				
23		portion of the distribution network as customer-related is consistent with cost				
24		causation. In other words, investments that must be made solely to attach a customer				
25		to the system are clearly customer-related.				



1	Q	DOES MR. JOHNSON'S PROPOSAL APPROPRIATELY RECOGNIZE THE
2		CENTRAL ROLES OF A DISTRIBUTION NETWORK?
3	Α	No. Mr. Johnson completely ignores the need for a distribution network to provide
4		access to a delivery-ready power grid.
5	Q	WHY WOULD CLASSIFYING ALL DISTRIBUTION NETWORK COSTS TO
6		DEMAND NOT BE CONSISTENT WITH COST CAUSATION?
7	Α	Although the distribution network is sized to meet expected peak demand, it must also
8		provide the necessary voltage support to allow power to flow to the customer. Absent
9		a distribution network and the voltage support it provides, electricity cannot flow to
10		customers. Thus, this investment is essential and unrelated to the amount of power
11		and energy consumed by customers, which is why classifying these costs entirely to
12		demand is not consistent with cost causation.
13		If PECO were to provide only a minimum amount of electric power to each
14		customer, it would still have to construct nearly the same miles of line because they
15		are required to serve every customer. The poles, conductors and transformers would
16		not need to be as large as they are now if every customer were supplied only a
17		minimum level of service, but there is a definite limit to the size to which they could be
18		reduced.
19	Q	WHAT PORTION OF PECO'S DISTRIBUTION NETWORK COSTS DOES IT
20		PROPOSE TO CLASSIFY AS CUSTOMER-RELATED?
21	Α	PECO proposes classifying 100% of its secondary distribution network as customer-
22		related, but 100% of the Primary and Primary HT distribution network as demand-
23		related. This results in a cost classification of 78% to demand and 22% to customer.

1	Q	IS IT A RECOGNIZED PRACTICE TO CLASSIFY A PORTION OF THE			
2		DISTRIBUTION NETWORK AS CUSTOMER-RELATED?			
3	Α	Yes. For example, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners			
4		(NARUC) Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual states that:			
5 6 7 8 9	Distribution plant Accounts 364 through 370 involve demand and customer costs. The customer component of distribution facilities is that portion of costs which varies with the number of customers. Thus, the number of poles, conductors, transformers, services, and meters are directly related to the number of customers on the utility's system. ¹				
10	Q	DO ANY UTILITIES ALSO CLASSIFY A PORTION OF THEIR DISTRIBUTION			
11		NETWORK AS CUSTOMER-RELATED?			
12	Α	Yes. Exhibit (JP-1R) is a survey of utilities that classify a portion of their			
13		distribution network as a customer-related cost. This practice is followed by most of			
14	the electric distribution utilities in Pennsylvania, including PECO. As can be seen, the				
15	portion of customer-related distribution network costs ranges from 18% (line 21) to				
16	76% (line 16). Thus, PECO's proposed distribution cost classification, which classifies				
17		only 22% of distribution network costs as customer-related, is among the lowest of the			
18		utilities that have employed this practice.			
19	Q	WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND?			
20	Α	The Commission should reject Mr. Johnson's proposal to classify all secondary			
21		distribution network costs to demand.			

¹ National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, *Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual*, at 90 (Jan. 1992).



1	Q	HOW IS MR. JOHNSON PROPOSING TO REALLOCATE THE COSTS			
2		ASSOCIATED WITH PECO ACCOUNT EXECUTIVES?			
3	Α	Mr. Johnson recommends that the Commission reallocate the costs of PECO account			
4		executives that are charged to FERC Account No. 903 exclusively to the large C&I			
5		customer classes (i.e., GS, PD, HT and EP).			
6	Q	DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS RECOMMENDATION?			
7	Α	No. Mr. Johnson only addresses the portion of FERC Account No. 903 associated			
8		with PECO account executives. He has made no analysis to determine the			
9		reasonableness of the allocation of the remaining costs in FERC Account No. 903 or			
10		any other customer-related costs. For example, he did not propose changing how			
11		customer call center costs are allocated between customer classes. Thus, Mr.			
12		Johnson's proposed reallocation of the cost of major account executives is nothing			
13		more than an inappropriate "piecemeal" change.			
14	Q	DO THE LARGE COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS USE PECO'S			
15		CALL CENTERS?			
16	Α	No. Exhibit (JP-2R) is a copy of PECO's Response to PAIEUG I-3. It states that			
17		the large C&I customers do not use PECO's call centers.			
18	Q	SHOULD ANY CALL CENTER COSTS BE ALLOCATED TO LARGE			
19		COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS?			
20	Α	No.			



ı	Q	HAVE 100 DEVELOPED A REVISED ALLOCATION OF CALL CENTER COSTS
2		TO EXCLUDE THE LARGE COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER
3		CLASSES?
4	Α	Yes. PECO books its call center costs to FERC Account Nos. 903 and 926. Although
5		PECO does not budget call center costs separately, using historical averages, the test
6		year call center costs would be approximately \$20.8 million and \$3.6 million,
7		respectively in FERC Account Nos. 903 and 926. None of these costs should be
8		allocated to the large C&I classes.
9		Exhibit (JP-3R) shows the derivation of the revised allocations of FERC
10		Account Nos. 903 and 926 showing the removal of the large C&I customer classes
11		from the allocation of call center costs (lines 5 and 10, respectively). I have also
12		included OCA's proposed reallocation of PECO account executives (line 4).
13		As can be seen, there are only slight differences in the allocations of FERC
14		Account Nos. 903 and 926 between PECO's proposal (line 12) and the combined
15		impact of OCA's revised allocation of PECO account executives and my revised
16		allocation of customer call center costs (line 13).
17	Q	WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND?
18	Α	The Commission should reject Mr. Johnson's recommendation to reallocate the costs
19		of PECO account executives to the large C&I classes unless it also reallocates the
20		costs of the customer call center away from the large C&I classes as described above.

I&E Witness Mr. Kubas

is shown on line 3.

Q

	INCREASE TO THE VARIOUS CUSTOMER CLASSES?
Α	Exhibit (JP-4R) provides an illustration of Mr. Kubas's scale-back proposal.
	Instead of scaling back the proposed increases uniformly relative to PECO's proposed
	increases, he is proposing a more targeted scale-back. To provide a perspective, l
	have shown the interclass subsidies at proposed rates under both PECO's proposed
	(line 1) and PAIEUG's updated revised (line 2) CCOSSs. PECO's proposed increase

HOW IS MR. KUBAS PROPOSING TO SCALE-BACK PECO'S PROPOSED

For example, in Step 1 Mr. Kubas assumes that PECO's proposed increase is scaled back by \$10 million or 7% (lines 4 and 5). The \$10 million would be used to eliminate the proposed increase for Rate PD and 40% of the proposed increase to Rate GS. Both Rates GS and PD are currently providing returns above the system average. That is, they are above cost. However, although Rate HT is also above cost, Mr. Kubas is not proposing any scale-back for Rate HT in Step 1.

In Step 2 Mr. Kubas would incrementally scale-back the increases for all customer classes except Rate EP (line 6). However, the proportion of the scale-back, (line 7) would vary between classes. For example, the proposed increase for Rate RH would be scaled back by 10%, while the Rate HT increase would be scaled back by 16%. Rate RH is currently below cost, while Rate HT is currently above cost. The other above-cost classes would be scaled back from 33% to 60%. Once again, the Rate HT scale-back would be less than for the other above-cost classes.

Similarly, in Step 3 (lines 8 and 9), the Rate HT scale-back would be only 39%, while the other above-cost classes would be scaled back by between 85% and 89%.



1		Ironically, the Rate HT scale-back would be lower than the proposed scale-back for			
2		Rate R, which is essentially at cost under PECO's CCOSS.			
3		Similar comparisons can be made in both Step 4 (lines 10 and 11) and Step 5			
4		(lines 12 and 13) of Mr. Kubas's scale-back methodology.			
5	Q	WHAT ARE YOUR CONCERNS WITH MR. KUBAS'S SCALE-BACK			
6		METHODOLOGY?			
7	Α	First, Rate HT is above cost, but it would receive a much lower scale-back than the			
8		other above-cost classes. Second, Rate EP would receive no scale-back; that is, even			
9		if PECO's proposed increase is scaled back by \$125 million (or 85%), Rate EP would			
10		receive the same increase as PECO is proposing.			
11	Q	SHOULD THE COMMISSION ADOPT MR. KUBAS'S PROPOSED SCALE-BACK			
12		METHODOLOGY?			
13	Α	No. Mr. Kubas has failed to demonstrate that his proposed scale-back methodology			
14		would result in moving all rates closer to cost at successively lower revenue			
15		requirements. Further, he fails to treat the above-cost classes equally. Finally, Rate			
16		EP would receive no reduction from PECO's proposed increase even if its requested			
17		increase is scaled back by up to 85%.			
18	Q	WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND?			
19	Α	Mr. Kubas's scale-back methodology is arbitrary, and he has failed to demonstrate			
20		that it would move all rates closer to cost under any of the illustrative scenarios.			
21		Accordingly, the Commission should reject Mr. Kubas's proposed scale-back			
22		methodology and instead adopt a proportional scale-back as I recommend in my direct			
23		testimony.			



1 Q IF THE COMMISSION DECIDES NOT TO DO A PROPORTIONAL SCALE-BACK, 2 WHAT WOULD YOU RECOMMEND? 3 Α My recommendation would be to scale-back the above-cost classes by roughly the 4 same proportions. In other words, the Rate HT scale-back should be comparable to 5 the corresponding scale-backs for Rates GS, PD and Lighting. Further, as discussed 6 below, Rate EP would be much closer to cost under PAIEUG's updated revised 7 CCOSS. Accordingly, Rate EP should receive at least a proportional scale-back. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF MR. KUBAS'S POSITION ON WHETHER 8 Q 9 THERE SHOULD BE ANY CHANGES IN CUSTOMER CHARGES? Mr. Kubas appears to be recommending no change in customer charges in this 10 Α 11 proceeding. However, most of his discussion pertained to the Rate R and Rate RH Customer charges.² It is unclear whether his recommendation extends to all rates. 12 13 Q DO YOU AGREE WITH HIS RECOMMENDATION? 14 Α No. As I stated in my direct testimony, the current Rate HT Customer charge is below 15 the allocated customer-related costs as derived in PECO's CCOSS. Even before any 16 rate increase. PECO's CCOSS indicates that the Rate HT customer-related costs are 17 approximately \$333/month.3 This is \$33 per month higher than the current Rate HT 18 Customer charge. At PECO's claimed rate of return, the Rate HT customer cost would 19 be \$345.4 Accordingly, if PECO is authorized to change delivery rates in this case, the

Rate HT Customer charge should be increased.

20



² I&E Statement No. 3, Direct Testimony of Joseph Kubas at 42-46.

³ PECO Energy Company Statement No. 6, Direct Testimony of Jiang Ding, Exhibit JD-4 at 2.

⁴ *Id.*, at 4.

Updated Revised Class Cost-of-Service Study

Q HAVE YOU UPDATED YOUR PRELIMINARY REVISED CLASS COST-OF-

SERVICE STUDY?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Α

Yes. As discussed in my direct testimony, the preliminary revised CCOSS was based on the assumption that \$32 million of distribution investment is associated with transmission facilities that failed the Seven Factor Test and were re-functionalized to distribution. Since completing my direct testimony, PECO responded to PAIEUG's Interrogatory Set No. V, which requested a more detailed description of the refunctionalized transmission facilities. A copy of PECO's Response is provided in **Exhibit** (JP-5R).

As can be seen, PECO has now identified \$54.2 million (rather than \$32 million) of re-functionalized transmission plant. Table 1R summarizes the refunctionalized transmission plant by FERC Account.

Table 1R Re-Functionalized Transmission Investment by FERC Account				
FERC Account No. Amount				
360 \$535,103				
361 \$3,539,002				
362 \$31,879,011				
364 \$5,283,864				
365 \$827,085				
366	\$1,649,146			
367 \$10,473,080				
Total \$54,186,291				
Source: PECO's Response to PAIEUG V-1.				



As discussed in my direct testimony, the investment shown in Table 1R should be allocated to all customer classes, including the loads of those customers that take service at a transmission voltage. However, these transmission loads should be removed in allocating the remaining Primary HT investment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

Q

HAVE YOU UPDATED YOUR REVISED CLASS COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY CONSISTENT WITH THE AMOUNT OF RE-FUNCTIONALIZED TRANSMISSION FACILITIES AS DESCRIBED ABOVE?

8 A Yes. The updated revised CCOSS is provided in **Exhibit** ___ (**JP-6R**). The results 9 are also summarized in Table 2R.

Table 2D

Summary of Updated Revised Class Cost-of-Service Study Results				
Rate	Rate of Return	Relative Rate of Return	Interclass Subsidy* (\$000)	
R	5.51%	0.96	(\$9,805)	
RH	4.34%	0.75	(\$12,739)	
GS	6.49%	1.13	\$10,471	
PD	6.55%	1.14	\$382	
HT	6.92%	1.20	\$10,642	
EP	4.89%	0.85	(\$471)	
Lighting	7.04%	1.22	\$1,520	
Total	5.76%	100	(\$0)	
* A negative (positive) amount indicates that the slave is				

^{*} A negative (positive) amount indicates that the class is receiving (providing) a subsidy.

10 Q DID YOU MAKE ANY OTHER CHANGES IN YOUR UPDATED REVISED CLASS 11 COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY?

A Yes. As discussed previously, I modified Mr. Johnson's proposed allocations of FERC Account Nos. 903 and 926 by removing the large C&I customer classes from the



1		allocation of call center costs charged to these accounts.
2	Q	WHAT DO THE UPDATED REVISED CLASS-COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY
3		RESULTS DEMONSTRATE?
4	Α	The updated revised CCOSS results demonstrate that Rates GS, PD, HT, and Lighting
5		are all well above cost. Rate EP is closer to cost than under PECO's CCOSS, and all
6		of the remaining classes are below cost.
7	Q	HAVE YOU UPDATED YOUR RECOMMENDED CLASS REVENUE ALLOCATION
8		TO REFLECT THE RESULTS OF THE UPDATED REVISED CLASS COST-OF-
9		SERVICE STUDY?
10	Α	Yes. My updated class revenue allocation is shown in Exhibit (JP-7R). The
11		resulting movement toward cost under this updated revenue allocation is shown in
12		Exhibit (8R). As with my original recommendation, Exhibit (JP-8R)
13		demonstrates that my updated revised class revenue allocation would move all rates
14		approximately 64% closer to cost, with the exception of Rates RH and EP. The
15		exception is due to applying gradualism that would limit the increase to not exceed 1.3
16		times the system average distribution rate increase.
17	Cond	<u>clusion</u>
18	Q	WHAT ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SHOULD THE COMMISSION MAKE BASED ON
19		YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
20	Α	The Commission should make the following additional findings:
21		Reject Mr. Johnson's four changes to PECO's CCOSS;
22 23 24 25		 If the Commission adopts Mr. Johnson's proposal to allocate PECO account executive expenses to the large C&I classes, it should also remove these classes from the allocation of the customer call center costs;



1 2		 Accept the updated revised CCOSS as presented in Exhibit (JP-6R) for use in determining class revenue allocation;
3		 Reject Mr. Kubas's proposed scale-back methodology;
4 5 6		 Scale-back the increases proportionally for all rates, or, in the alternative, scale-back all of the above-cost customer classes uniformly; and
7 8 9		 Increase the Rate HT Customer charge to reflect the customer-related costs as derived in PECO's CCOSS at the authorized revenue requirement.
10	Q	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
11	Α	Yes.



BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

٧.

Docket No. R-2018-3000164

PECO Energy Company

Rebuttal Exhibits

of

JEFFRY POLLOCK

On Behalf of

Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group

July 24, 2018



<u>Utilities that Classify a Portion of their Distribution Network Investment as Customer-Related</u>

Cumues mai ciassiny	a i Oitioii	or tricii Distr	ibution Network ii	ivestillent as c	Justonner-ix	ciateu

			FERC Account No.					
Line	Utility	Docket/Case No.	364	365	366	367	368	Total
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Alabama Power Company	18117 & 18416	100%	50%	100%	50%	28%	57%
2	Ameren Missouri	ER-2011-0028	22%	41%	68%	68%	57%	50%
3	Central Hudson Gas & Electric Company	17-E-0459	81%	73%	75%	76%	46%	71%
4	Duquesne Light Company	R-2018-3000124	20%	20%	4%	4%	69%	26%
5	Georgia Power Company	D-36989	63%	31%	7%	8%	25%	36%
6	Gulf Power Company	D-160186-EI	45%	20%	6%	7%	27%	24%
7	Kentucky Utilities	2016-00370	59%	59%	80%	80%	47%	59%
8	Louisville Gas and Electric Company	2016-00371	59%	59%	64%	64%	41%	58%
9	Metropolitan Edison	R-2016-2537349	73%	82%	0%	90%	52%	72%
10	Minnesota Power	D-E-015/GR-16-664	47%	47%	27%	27%	39%	38%
11	Mississippi Power Company	N/A	50%	53%	46%	59%	51%	52%
12	New York State Electric & Gas Corporation	15-E-0283	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
13	Niagara Mohawk Power Company	17-E-0238	53%	52%	50%	50%	0%	40%
14	Northern States Power Company	E002/GR-15-826	56%	56%	65%	65%	59%	61%
15	PECO Energy Company: Electric Division	R-2018-3000164	28%	28%	28%	24%	0%	24%
16	Pennsylvania Electric Company	R-2016-2537352	74%	84%	0%	82%	62%	76%
17	Duke Energy Progress, LLC	E-2,Sub 1142	86%	86%	76%	76%	29%	68%
18	Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation	C-15-E-0285	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
19	South Carolina Electric & Gas Company	D-2012-218-E	40%	40%	0%	0%	32%	39%
20	Tampa Electric Company	D-130040-EI	67%	11%	9%	N/A	24%	25%
21	Virginia Electric Power Company	C-PUE-2015-00027	41%	16%	17%	17%	9%	18%
22	West Penn Power Company	R-2016-2537359	82%	92%	0%	87%	71%	75%
23	Wisconsin Public Service Corporation	6690-UR-124	75%	65%	0%	63%	52%	64%

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PECO Energy Company – Electric Division

Docket No. R-2018-3000164

Response of PECO Energy Company
To Interrogatories of the
Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group
PAIEUG Set I
Response Date: 05/16/2018

PAIEUG-I-3

Referring to PECO's Response to OCA-I-16:

- a. Please identify the FERC Account numbers in which call center costs are booked.
- b. Please state whether and the extent in which large commercial or industrial customers use the call center.

RESPONSE:

- a. Because PECO does not budget by FERC Account, the information necessary to provide a breakdown of call center costs is not available. For historical call center costs, the charges have been recorded to FERC accounts 903000 (Customer records & collection expenses) and 926000 (Employee pensions & benefits).
- b. Large commercial and industrial customers do not use the call center.

Responsible Witness: Jiang Ding

PECO Energy Company Revised Allocation of Customer Call Center Costs Charged to FERC Account Nos. 903 and 906 (Dollar Amounts in 000) Test Year Ending December 31, 2019

Line	Description	Allocator	Total	Residential	Residential Heating	General Service	Primary Distribution	High Tension	Electric Propulsion	Lighting
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	FERC Account No. 903									
1	Allocation per PECO	CUSTREC	\$71,133	\$52,892	\$7,949	\$5,970	\$533	\$3,330	\$14	\$444
2	Call Center Related		20,811	15,474	2,326	1,747	156	974	4	130
3	Other		50,322	37,418	5,623	4,224	377	2,356	10	314
4	PECO Account Executives Adj.	OCA	(0)	(3,016)	(453)	2,016	55	1,348	75	(25)
5	Call Center Adjustment	-	(0)	2,487	374	(1,747)	(156)	(974)	(4)	21
6	Revised Allocation		\$71,133	\$52,363	\$7,869	\$6,240	\$432	\$3,704	\$85	\$439
	FERC Account No. 926									
7	Allocation per PECO	SALWAGES	\$32,618	\$19,290	\$3,875	\$5,082	\$224	\$3,566	\$200	\$382
8	Call Center Related		3,596	2,127	427	560	25	393	22	42
9	Other		29,021	17,163	3,447	4,522	199	3,173	178	340
10	Call Center Adjustment	-	0	819	165	(560)	(25)	(393)	(22)	16
11	Revised Allocation		\$32,618	\$20,109	\$4,039	\$4,522	\$199	\$3,173	\$178	\$398
	Total Account Nos. 903 and 926									
12	Allocation per PECO		\$103,751	\$72,182	\$11,823	\$11,052	\$757	\$6,896	\$214	\$826
13	Revised Allocation		\$103,751	\$72,472	\$11,908	\$10,762	\$632	\$6,877	\$263	\$838

PECO Energy Company Electric Class Cost of Service Study (\$000) For Future Test Year Ended December 31, 2019 I&E PROPOSED SCALE-BACK STEPS

Total

Line	Description	Total	Rate R	Rate RH	Residential	Rate GS	Rate PD	Rate HT	Rate EP	Lighting
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Subsidy at Proposed	Rates								
1	PECO Proposed	\$0	\$56	(\$8,892)	(\$8,836)	\$6,624	\$179	\$2,956	(\$1,291)	\$368
2	PAIEUG Revised	(\$0)	(\$9,805)	(\$12,739)	(\$22,544)	\$10,471	\$382	\$10,642	(\$471)	\$1,520
3	Proposed Increase	\$146,991	\$80,680	\$20,076	\$100,756	\$23,143	\$810	\$19,971	\$1,161	\$1,150
4	Step 1	(\$10,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$9,190)	(\$810)	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	% Scale Back	7%	0%	0%	0%	40%	100%	0%	0%	0%
6	Step 2	(\$35,000)	(\$14,607)	(\$2,103)	(\$16,710)	(\$14,000)	(\$810)	(\$3,100)	\$0	(\$380)
7	% Scale Back	24%	18%	10%	17%	60%	100%	16%	0%	33%
8	Step 3	(\$70,000)	(\$34,724)	(\$5,001)	(\$39,725)	(\$20,690)	(\$810)	(\$7,800)	\$0	(\$975)
9	% Scale Back	48%	43%	25%	39%	89%	100%	39%	0%	85%
10	Step 4	(\$100,000)	(\$51,842)	(\$7,466)	(\$59,308)	(\$26,732)	(\$810)	(\$12,000)	\$0	(\$1,150)
11	% Scale Back	68%	64%	37%	59%	116%	100%	60%	0%	100%
12	Step 5	(\$125,000)	(\$66,293)	(\$9,547)	(\$75,840)	(\$31,900)	(\$810)	(\$15,300)	\$0	(\$1,150)
13	% Scale Back	85%	82%	48%	75%	138%	100%	77%	0%	100%

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PECO Energy Company – Electric Division

Docket No. R-2018-3000164

Response of PECO Energy Company
To Interrogatories of the
Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group
PAIEUG Set V

Response Date: 06/28/2018

PAIEUG-V-1

Please refer to PECO Energy Company Statement No. 6-R, Rebuttal Testimony of Alan Cohn, in *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PECO Energy Company – Electric Division*. On pages 9-10 of Statement No. 6-R, Mr. Cohn notes that PECO transferred approximately \$32 million of assets from transmission to distribution accounts. Please provide a detailed description of the facilities that were transferred, including a breakdown of the amount of investment by FERC account.

RESPONSE:

Attachment PAIEUG-V-1(a) provides the net assets of \$21M that were transferred from transmission to distribution in 2009. The Company transferred an additional approximately \$12M from transmission to distribution in 2013. Refer to Attachment PAIEUG-V-1(b). The 2013 transfer was a transfer/reclassification of equipment from transmission to high voltage distribution within the distribution plant function account. The dollar amounts were included in the 2009 transfers. The level of detail provided in Attachment PAIEUG-V-1(a) and Attachment PAIEUG-V-1(b) is limited to the assets transferred from transmission to distribution. Otherwise, PECO does not maintain Property, Plant and Equipment records by operating voltage.

Responsible Witness: Jiang Ding

PECO Asset Transfers in 2009

					Cost	
Sum of activity_cost asset_location	major_location	utility_account	Transfer In		Transfer Out	Grand Total
0035 Delchester Division	PECO Distribution	PEE 360 Right of Way	Transier in	\$	(220,942)	
0035 Delchester Division	PECO Distribution	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures		\$	(4,925,128)	, ,
0035 Delchester Division	PECO Distribution	PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices		\$	(5,698,003)	,
0035 Delchester Division	PECO Distribution	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole		\$	(1,062,624)	,
0035 Delchester Division 0035 Delchester Division Total	PECO Distribution	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices		\$	(1,581,540) S (13,488,236) S	` '
0726 Schuylkill Sub. 66-13kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		Ф \$	(13,400,230)	\$ (13,488,236) \$ -
0726 Schuylkill Sub. 66-13kv Total	T EGG Transmission Gabstations	TEE 002 Oldffor Equipment		\$	- (-
0727 Grays Ferry Substatn 220-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 189,168	,		\$ 189,168
0727 Grays Ferry Substatn 220-66kv Total			\$ 189,168			189,168
0731 Richmond Substation 220kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 69,468			69,468
0731 Richmond Substation 220kv Total	5500.5		\$ 69,468		(1.17.1.10)	69,468
0732 Delaware Sub 132-66-13kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 57,361		(147,140) \$,
0732 Delaware Sub 132-66-13kv Total 0733 Westmoreland Sub 66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	DEE 262 Station Equipment	\$ 57,361		(147,140)	, ,
0733 Westmoreland Sub 66kv Total	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 70,746 \$ 70,746			70,746 70,746
0735 Byberry Sub 220-132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 77,935			\$ 77,935
0735 Byberry Sub 220-132-66kv Total			\$ 77,935			77,935
0736 Waneeta Substation 220-132kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 185,489		Ç	185,489
0736 Waneeta Substation 220-132kv Total			\$ 185,489			185,489
0737 Southwark Sub 66-13kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 743,175			743,175
0737 Southwark Sub 66-13kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 14,178		9	14,178
0737 Southwark Sub 66-13kv Total 0740 Island Road Substation	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 757,353 \$ 146,367			757,353 146,367
0740 Island Road Substation 0740 Island Road Substation	PECO Transmission Substations PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 146,367 \$ 167,638			146,367 167,638
0740 Island Road Substation Total	. 200 Handingsion Substations	. 22 002 otation Equipment	\$ 314,005			\$ 107,038 \$ 314,005
0752 Woodlyn Substation 132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 360 Land owned in fee	\$ 38,294			\$ 38,294
0752 Woodlyn Substation 132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 41,864		Ç	\$ 41,864
0752 Woodlyn Substation 132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Improvement	\$ 123,930		Ş	123,930
0752 Woodlyn Substation 132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 2,156,808		9	2,156,808
0752 Woodlyn Substation 132-66kv Total			\$ 2,360,896			\$ 2,360,896
0765 Emilie Substation 220-132kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 93,016			93,016
0765 Emilie Substation 220-132kv Total	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 93,016 \$ 159,476		Š	93,016
0771 CrombySubstation 132-66-20kv 0771 CrombySubstation 132-66-20kv Total	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 302 Station Equipment	\$ 158,476 \$ 158,476			\$ 158,476 \$ 158,476
0771 Gromby Substation 132-00-20kV Total 0772 Ply MTG Sub 220-132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 234,742		9	334,742
0772 Ply MTG Sub 220-132-66kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 5,461,661		- (5,461,661
0772 Ply MTG Sub 220-132-66kv Total		In the second	\$ 5,696,403		- (5,696,403
0776 PBAPS 500-220kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 2,080,013		Ş	\$ 2,080,013
0776 PBAPS 500-220kv Total			\$ 2,080,013		Ş	\$ 2,080,013
0777 Heaton Substation 220-13kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 74,417			74,417
0777 Heaton Substation 220-13kv	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 37,176		9	37,176
0777 Heaton Substation 220-13kv Total	PECO Transmission Substations	DEE 262 Station Equipment	\$ 111,594 \$ 1,594,576		Š	111,594
0778 Roxborough Sub 220-66kv 0778 Roxborough Sub 220-66kv Total	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 1,584,576 \$ 1,584,576			\$ 1,584,576 \$ 1,584,576
0779 Morton 230kv Sw. Sta.	PECO Transmission Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 191,177			\$ 1,304,370 \$ 191,177
0779 Morton 230kv Sw. Sta. Total	1 200 Transmission Capatations	1 22 002 otation 2 quipmont	\$ 191,177			191,177
2512 Callowhill Substation 132-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 1,745,266		Ş	1,745,266
2512 Callowhill Substation 132-13kv Total			\$ 1,745,266			\$ 1,745,266
2527 Grays Ferry Substatn 220-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building		\$	(84,640)	, ,
2527 Grays Ferry Substatn 220-13kv Total	DE00 Division 0 Love	DEE 004.04	.	\$	(84,640)	, ,
2537 Island Road Substation	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 146,367			146,367
2537 Island Road Substation 2537 Island Road Substation Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 35,978 \$ 182,345		,	\$ 35,978 \$ 182,345
2554 Lombard Substation 66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 614,945		9	\$ 614,945
2554 Lombard Substation 66-13kv Total	1 200 Biotilibation Gabotations	TEE GOE Glation Equipment	\$ 614,945			614,945
2570 Parrish Substation 220-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$	(85,836)	
2570 Parrish Substation 220-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 114,448		(114,448
2570 Parrish Substation 220-13kv Total			\$ 114,448		(85,836)	
2574 Passyunk Substation 66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 56,585		S	56,585
2574 Passyunk Substation 66-13kv Total	DECO Diotribution Cubatations	DEE 262 Station Facilities	\$ 56,585			56,585
2576 Penn Substation 66-13kv 2576 Penn Substation 66-13kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 245,448 \$ 245,448			\$ 245,448 \$ 245,448
2576 Penn Substation 66-13kV Total 2595 Tuna Substation 66kV	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 245,448			\$ 245,448 \$ 5,033,869
2595 Tuna Substation 66kV Total	1 EGG Distribution Gabstations	TEE 302 Station Equipment	\$ 5,033,869			5,033,869
2596 University Substation 66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building	\$ 1,439,219			1,439,219
2596 University Substation 66-13kv Total		g .	\$ 1,439,219		Ş	1,439,219
2597 Waverly Substation 66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$	(1,653,865)	\$ (1,653,865)
2597 Waverly Substation 66-13kv Total				\$	(1,653,865)	,
2599 Tunnel Substation 66-13 KV	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$	(5,290)	(5,290)
2599 Tunnel Substation 66-13 KV	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 366 Undergrad Conduit & Manhole		\$	- 3	-
2599 Tunnel Substation 66-13 KV 2599 Tunnel Substation 66-13 KV Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices		ф Ф	- ; (5.200) (• - • (5.200)
2954 North Phila. sub 66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 27,064	φ	(5,290)	(5,290) 5 27,064
2954 North Phila. sub 66-13kv Total	1 EGG Distribution Gabstations	TEE 302 Station Equipment	\$ 27,064			\$ 27,064
2964 Richmond Sub 220-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$	(113,484)	•
2964 Richmond Sub 220-13kv Total				\$	(113,484)	,
2968 Roxborough Sub 66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$	(87,893)	(87,893)
2968 Roxborough Sub 66-13kv Total			_	\$	(87,893)	, ,
3542 Clay Substation 220-33kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 539,782			539,782
3542 Clay Substation 220-33kv Total	DECO Distribution Substations	DEE 262 Station Facilities	\$ 539,782		(4 400 400)	539,782
3575 Eagle 33-4Kv Sub-Imprvmnts 3575 Eagle 33-4Kv Sub-Imprvmnts Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$ \$	(1,438,426) S (1,438,426) S	,
3606 Goshen 69-33 Sub	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		φ \$	(1,430,420)	, (1, 4 50,420)
3606 Goshen 69-33 Sub Total				\$	- 3	5 -
3615 Hamorton Substation 33-4kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 360 Land owned in fee		\$	(288)	(288)
					` '	` '

PECO Asset Transfers in 2009

				Cost	
Sum of activity_cost asset_location	major_location	utility_account	Transfer In	Transfer Out	Grand Total
3615 Hamorton Substation 33-4kv Total 3750 Planebrook Substation 220-33kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$ (288) \$ (5,284,107)	, ,
3750 Planebrook Substation 220-33kv Total 3798 Tredyffrin Substation 230-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$ (5,284,107) \$ (5,974,162)	\$ (5,284,107)
3798 Tredyffrin Substation 230-13kv Total 4042 Betzwood Substation	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$ (5,974,162) \$ (662,675)	\$ (5,974,162)
4042 Betzwood Substation Total 4086 Flint Substation 220-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 352,142	\$ (662,675)	,
4086 Flint Substation 220-13kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations		\$ 352,142	¢ (2.090.604)	\$ 352,142
4100_Hartman_Substation 4100_Hartman_Substation Total		PEE 362 Station Equipment	Ф 474 C47	\$ (2,080,601) \$ (2,080,601)	\$ (2,080,601)
4107 Heaton Substatn 220-132-13kv 4107 Heaton Substatn 220-132-13kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 171,647 \$ 171,647		\$ 171,647 \$ 171,647
4188 North Wales Sub 230-33kv 4188 North Wales Sub 230-33kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 2,686,641 \$ 2,686,641	:	\$ 2,686,641 \$ 2,686,641
4203 Perkiomen Substation 132-33kv 4203 Perkiomen Substation 132-33kv	PECO Distribution Substations PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 361 Structure Building PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 445,517 \$ 56,532	:	\$ 445,517 \$ 56,532
4203 Perkiomen Substation 132-33kv Total 4209 Ply MTG Sub 220-66-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 502,049 \$ 6,690,176		\$ 502,049 \$ 6,690,176
4209 Ply MTG Sub 220-66-13kv Total 4248 Upper Merion Sub 220kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 6,690,176 \$ 50,108		\$ 6,690,176 \$ 50,108
4248 Upper Merion Sub 220kv Total 4250 Upper Prov Sub 230-33kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 360 Land owned in fee	\$ 50,108 \$ 201,201	:	\$ 50,108 \$ 201,201
4250 Upper Prov Sub 230-33kv 4250 Upper Prov Sub 230-33kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 201,201	\$ (1,014,096) \$ (1,014,096)	\$ (1,014,096)
4664 Newtown Square Sub 66-13kv 4664 Newtown Square Sub 66-13kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment		\$ (763,229) \$ (763,229)	\$ (763,229)
4729 Warminster Substation 220-13kv 4729 Warminster Substation 220-13kv 4729 Warminster Substation 220-13kv Total	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 429,894 \$ 429,894	(100,229)	\$ (703,229) \$ 429,894 \$ 429,894
4732 Warrington Substation 33-4kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	ψ 4 29,694	\$ (35)	\$ (35)
4732 Warrington Substation 33-4kv Total 4744 Woodbourne Substation220-33kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 33,627	\$ (35)	\$ 33,627
4744 Woodbourne Substation220-33kv Total 5002 220Kv Concord Sub to Mikkletown Sub	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 360 Land owned in fee	\$ 33,627	\$ (32,218)	, ,
5002 220Kv Concord Sub to Mikkletown Sub Total 5030 Chester Substation 33-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 361 Structure Improvement	\$ 89,065	\$ (32,218)	\$ 89,065
5030 Chester Substation 33-13kv Total 5177 Sharon Hill Substation 66-33kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 89,065 \$ 26,018		\$ 89,065 \$ 26,018
5177 Sharon Hill Substation 66-33kv Total 5216 Woodlyn Substation 66-33-13kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 26,018 \$ 15,269		\$ 26,018 \$ 15,269
5216 Woodlyn Substation 66-33-13kv Total 5561 Blueball Substation 13-69kv	PECO Distribution Substations	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 15,269	\$ (294,595)	\$ 15,269 \$ (294,595)
5561 Blueball Substation 13-69kv Total 7121 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$ 128,639	\$ (294,595)	, ,
7121 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv 7121 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv Total	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 409,868 \$ 538,507		\$ 409,868 \$ 538,507
7127 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv 7127 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 1,068,749 \$ 655,259		\$ 1,068,749 \$ 655,259
7127 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv Total 7128 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$ 1,724,008		\$ 1,724,008
7128 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 367 Undergrind Conduit & Marinole PEE 367 Undergrind Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 43,987 \$ 344,608		\$ 43,987 \$ 344,608
7128 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv Total 7130 66Kv Southwark Sub - Waverly Sub	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 362 Station Equipment	\$ 388,595 \$ 358,686		\$ 388,595 \$ 358,686
7130 66Kv Southwark Sub - Waverly Sub Total 7149 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$ 358,686 \$ 60,953		\$ 358,686 \$ 60,953
7149 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv 7149 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv Total	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 21,925 \$ 82,878	:	\$ 21,925 \$ 82,878
7158 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv 7158 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 615,806 \$ 37,596		\$ 615,806 \$ 37,596
7158 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv Total 7162 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$ 653,403 \$ 53,539		\$ 653,403 \$ 53,539
7162 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv 7162 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv Total	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 564,177 \$ 617,716	:	\$ 564,177 \$ 617,716
7163 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv 7163 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 44,163 \$ 813,105		\$ 44,163 \$ 813,105
7163 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv Total 7185 Island Rd - Sharon Hill 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$ 857,267 \$ 164,723		\$ 857,267 \$ 164,723
7185 Island Rd - Sharon Hill 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 15,032		\$ 15,032
7185 Island Rd - Sharon Hill 66Kv 7185 Island Rd - Sharon Hill 66Kv	PECO 66kv Transmission Lines PECO 66kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 39,939 \$ 333,592		\$ 39,939 \$ 333,592
7185 Island Rd - Sharon Hill 66Kv Total 7404 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv	PECO 13.2kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$ 553,287 \$ 80,658	:	\$ 553,287 \$ 80,658
7404 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv 7404 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv Total	PECO 13.2kv Transmission Lines	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 2,361,235 \$ 2,441,893		\$ 2,361,235 \$ 2,441,893
7406 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv 7406 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv	PECO 13.2kv Transmission Lines PECO 13.2kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 71,920 \$ 1,950,030	:	\$ 71,920 \$ 1,950,030
7406 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv Total 7408 Delaware - Callohill 13.2Kv	PECO 13.2kv Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$ 2,021,950 \$ 111,205	;	\$ 2,021,950 \$ 111,205
7408 Delaware - Callohill 13.2Kv 7408 Delaware - Callohill 13.2Kv Total	PECO 13.2kv Transmission Lines	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 2,417,787 \$ 2,528,991		\$ 2,417,787 \$ 2,528,991
7435 132Kv Bradford-Lukens Steel 7435 132Kv Bradford-Lukens Steel	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 4,013,388 \$ 390,613		\$ 4,013,388 \$ 390,613
7435 132Kv Bradford-Lukens Steel Total			\$ 4,404,000		\$ 4,404,000
7436 132Kv Newlinville-Middletown 7436 132Kv Newlinville-Middletown	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$ 49,724 \$ 9,312		\$ 49,724 \$ 9,312
7436 132Kv Newlinville-Middletown Total			\$ 59,036	;	\$ 59,036

Attachment PAIEUG-V-1(a)

PECO Asset Transfers in 2009

					Cost		
Sum of activity_cost							
asset_location	major_location	utility_account	7	Transfer In Transfe		(Grand Total
7437 132Kv Ply Mtg-Lukens Steel	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	164,022		\$	164,022
7437 132Kv Ply Mtg-Lukens Steel	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	56,437		\$	56,437
7437 132Kv Ply Mtg-Lukens Steel Total			\$	220,459		\$	220,459
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 360 Land owned in fee	\$	25,491		\$	25,491
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 360 Right of Way	\$	270,117		\$	270,117
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 361 Structure Improvement	\$	13,776		\$	13,776
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 361 Structure Special Purpose	\$	40,563		\$	40,563
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	215,248		\$	215,248
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	296,170		\$	296,170
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	6,347		\$	6,347
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	PECO 132kv PA Transmission Lines	PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	623,419		\$	623,419
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub. Total			\$	1,491,132		\$	1,491,132
Grand Total			\$	54,186,293	(33,210,816	5) \$	20,975,478

PECO 2013 Transfers

	G	ross Value	Reserve	N	et Transfer
7121 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv	\$	538,507	\$ (276,981)	\$	261,526
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	128,639	\$ (123,928)	\$	4,711
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	409,868	\$ (153,053)	\$	256,815
7127 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv	\$	2,032,294	\$ (1,056,086)	\$	976,208
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	1,377,035	\$ (816,411)	\$	560,624
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	655,259	\$ (239,675)	\$	415,584
7128 Schuylkill - Penn 66Kv	\$	388,595	\$ (208,535)	\$	180,060
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	43,987	\$ (35,677)	\$	8,310
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	344,608	\$ (172,858)	\$	171,750
7149 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv	\$	82,878	\$ (64,312)	\$	18,566
PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	60,953	\$ (45,923)	\$	15,030
PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	21,925	\$ (18,389)	\$	3,536
7158 Eddystone-Tinicum 66Kv	\$	653,403	\$ (237,487)	\$	415,916
PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	615,806	\$ (205,955)	\$	409,852
PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	37,596	\$ (31,532)	\$	6,064
7162 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv	\$	617,716	\$ (320,861)	\$	296,855
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	53,539	\$ (43,475)	\$	10,064
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	564,177	\$ (277,387)	\$	286,790
7163 Schuylkill - Lombard 66Kv	\$	857,267	\$ (281,022)	\$	576,245
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	44,163	\$ (36,957)	\$	7,205
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	813,105	\$ (244,065)	\$	569,040
7185 Island Rd - Sharon Hill 66Kv	\$	553,287	\$ (281,488)	\$	271,798
PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	164,723	\$ (74,989)	\$	89,734
PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	15,032	\$ (8,517)	\$	6,515
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	39,939	\$ (33,397)	\$	6,542
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	333,592	\$ (164,585)	\$	169,007
7404 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv	\$	2,441,893	\$ (1,066,049)	\$	1,375,844
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	80,658	\$ (60,142)	\$	20,515
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	2,361,235	\$ (1,005,907)	\$	1,355,329
7406 Master - Callohill 13.2Kv	\$	2,021,950	\$ (889,406)	\$	1,132,544
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	71,920	\$ (54,161)	\$	17,759
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	1,950,030	\$ (835,245)	\$	1,114,785
7408 Delaware - Callohill 13.2Kv	\$	2,528,991	\$ (753,802)	\$	1,775,189
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	111,205	\$ (66,875)	\$	44,330
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	2,417,787	\$ (686,927)	\$	1,730,860
7435 132Kv Bradford-Lukens Steel	\$	4,404,000	\$ (1,260,735)	\$	3,143,266
PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	4,013,388	\$ (987,571)	\$	3,025,817
PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	390,613	\$ (273,164)	\$	117,449
7437 132Kv Ply Mtg-Lukens Steel	\$	220,459	\$ (119,695)	\$	100,764
PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	164,022	\$ (80,227)	\$	83,794
PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	56,437	\$ (39,468)	\$	16,970
7441 132Kv Eddy Sub-Woodlyn Sub.	\$	1,491,132	\$ (513,814)	\$	977,318
PEE 360 Land owned in fee	\$	25,491		\$	25,491
PEE 360 Right of Way	\$	270,117		\$	270,117
PEE 361 Structure Special Purpose	\$	54,339	\$ (31,568)	\$	22,771
PEE 364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	329,463	\$ (159,374)	\$	170,089
PEE 365 Overhead Cndctrs & Devices	\$	188,302	\$ (75,496)	\$	112,806
PEE 366 Undergrnd Conduit & Manhole	\$	274,324	\$ (126,361)	\$	147,963
PEE 367 Undergrnd Cndctrs & Devices	\$	349,095	\$ (121,015)	\$	228,080
Total	\$	18,832,373	\$ (7,330,273)	\$	11,502,100

PECO Energy Company Updated Revised Electric Class Cost of Service Study (\$000) For Future Test Year Ended December 31, 2019

		TOTAL							
LINE	DESCRIPTION	ELECTRIC DIVISION	RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL HEATING	GENERAL SERVICE	PRIMARY DISTRIBUTION	HIGH TENSION	ELECTRIC PROPULSION	LIGHTING
	(a)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	SUMMARY AT PRESENT RATES								
	DEVELOPMENT OF DISTRIBUTION RETURN								
	OPERATING REVENUE								
1	Sales of Electricity - Base	1,224,574	681,075	136,434	224,851	8,178	146,754	7,207	20,075
2	Decommissioning Revenues	(3,860)	(1,085)	(281)	(832)	•	(1,535)	,	(21)
3	Other Operating Revenue	37,547	21,592	5,083	6,422	210	3,579	204	456
-	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	1,258,261	701,583	141,237	230,441	8,346	148,798	7,346	20,510
•		.,_00,_0.	,	,	200,	3,3 .3		.,0.0	_0,0.0
	OPERATING EXPENSES								
5	Operation and Maintenance Expense	619,817	366,447	76,491	98,135	3,836	62,781	3,451	8,676
6	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	235,063	130,742	28,031	44,271	1,443	25,101	1,439	4,036
7	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes-General	20,557	10,758	2,460	3,716	151	3,047	176	248
8	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes-Distribution GF	70,638	39,007	7,783	13,135	481	8,623	425	1,184
9	Income Taxes	34,406	15,877	837	9,243	340	7,167	118	824
10	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	980,481	562,832	115,603	168,500	6,251	106,719	5,609	14,968
11	OPERATING INCOME (RETURN)	277,780	138,751	25,634	61,941	2,095	42,079	1,737	5,542
	DEVELOPMENT OF RATE BASE								
12	Electric Plant in Service	7,193,629	3,668,118	874,803	1,493,192	46,066	913,158	54,681	143,611
13	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	2,041,533	1,030,697	242,642	428,194	12,483	258,616	15,406	53,496
14	Plus: Rate Base Additions	465,301	261,456	53,692	82,150	3,202	56,816	2,407	5,577
15	Less: Rate Base Deductions	796,981	378,650	94,952	192,325	4,807	103,170	6,130	16,946
16	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION RATE BASE	4,820,416	2,520,228	590,901	954,823	31,977	608,188	35,553	78,746
17	DISTRIBUTION DATE OF DETUDA (PRESENT)	5.76%	5.51%	4.34%	6.49%	6.55%	6.92%	4.89%	7.04%
17	DISTRIBUTION INDEX PATE OF RETURN (PRESENT)	1.00	0.96	4.34% 0.75	6.49% 1.13	1.14	6.92% 1.20	4.89% 0.85	7.04% 1.22
18	DISTRIBUTION INDEX RATE OF RETURN (PRE				_	1.14 382	-		
19	Interclass Subsidy	(0)	(9,805)	(12,739)	10,471	382	10,642	(471)	1,520

PECO Energy Company

Recommended Class Revenue Allocation Based on the Updated Revised CCOSS Test Year Ending December 31, 2019 <u>Dollar Amounts in (\$000)</u>

	D	Distribution Revenues at Present	Recommended Revenue In	crease	Relative Increase
Line	Rate	Rates	Amount	Amount Percent	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Residential	\$681,075	\$89,766	13.2%	110
2	Residential Heating	136,434	21,284	15.6%	130
3	General Service	224,851	21,742	9.7%	81
4	Primary Distribution	8,178	693	8.5%	71
5	High Tension	146,754	11,101	7.6%	63
6	Electric Propulsion	7,207	1,124	15.6%	130
7	Lighting	20,075	1,281	6.4%	53
8	Total	\$1,224,574	\$146,991	12.0%	100

PECO Energy Company

Movement to Cost-Based Rates Under the Recommended Class Revenue Allocation And the Updated Revised Class Cost-of-Service Study Test Year Ending December 31, 2019 Dollar Amounts in (\$000)

		Rate of	Return	Relative Rate of Return		Interclass Subsidy		
Line	Rate	Present Rates	Recomm. Rates	Present Rates	Recomm. Rates	Present Rates	Recomm. Rates	Movement To Cost
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Residential	5.51%	7.80%	96	101	(\$9,805)	\$2,947	70%
2	Residential Heating	4.34%	6.65%	75	86	(12,739)	(9,570)	25%
3	General Service	6.49%	7.94%	113	103	10,471	3,173	70%
4	Primary Distribution	6.55%	7.96%	114	103	382	115	70%
5	High Tension	6.92%	8.08%	120	105	10,642	3,285	69%
6	Electric Propulsion	4.89%	6.96%	85	90	(471)	(413)	12%
7	Lighting	7.04%	8.11%	122	105	1,520	463	70%
8	Total	5.76%	7.72%	100	100	(\$0)	(\$0)	64%