

**PECO ENERGY COMPANY
STATEMENT NO. 2**

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

PETITION OF PECO ENERGY COMPANY
FOR APPROVAL OF ITS
DEFAULT SERVICE PROGRAM
FOR THE PERIOD FROM
JUNE 1, 2021 THROUGH MAY 31, 2025

DOCKET NO. P-2020-_____

DIRECT TESTIMONY

WITNESS: JOSEPH A. BISTI

SUBJECTS: DEFAULT SERVICE RATE DESIGN,
TIME-OF-USE RATES, AND
TARIFF CHANGES

DATED: MARCH 13, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II. DEFAULT SERVICE RATE DESIGN.....	4
III. TIME-OF-USE RATE OPTIONS	10
IV. RECOVERY OF DEFAULT SERVICE PROGRAM AND CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM SHOPPING PLAN IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	24
V. OTHER RETAIL TARIFF CHANGES	26
VI. JANUARY 2020 SECRETARIAL LETTER TOPICS	27
VII. CONCLUSION.....	28

1 Senior Rate Administrator for over three years, during which I assumed oversight
2 responsibilities for tariff administration as described above. In that position, my
3 responsibilities also included analyzing and applying PECO's tariffs to retail
4 customers, as well as coordinating and preparing PECO testimony and comments
5 in several Commission proceedings.

6 For approximately nine years prior to my role as a Senior Rate Administrator, I
7 was a Senior Analyst in PECO's Energy Acquisition department. The Energy
8 Acquisition department is responsible for PECO's interaction with electric
9 generation suppliers ("EGSs") and for fulfilling PECO's obligation as a default
10 service provider to serve electric retail customers who need, or choose to obtain,
11 default service.

12 **5. Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?**

13 A. Yes. I have testified in the following proceedings before the Commission:

14 Docket Nos. C-2008-2058320 and C-2009-2089694 – *Rama Construction Inc.*
15 *T/A Ramada Inn Int'l Airport v. PECO Energy Company*

16 Docket Nos. M-2018-3005860 et al. – *Office of Consumer Advocate v. PECO*
17 *Energy Company*

18 **6. Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

19 A. The primary purpose of my direct testimony is to describe the rate design to take
20 effect with the commencement of PECO's fifth default service program ("DSP
21 V") on June 1, 2021. With one addition, PECO is adopting the same rate design
22 employed in its fourth default service program ("DSP IV"), which the
23 Commission previously approved as consistent with the Public Utility Code

1 (“Code”) and the Commission’s default service regulations. The only change
2 PECO is proposing in this filing is the introduction of Time-Of-Use (“TOU”)
3 default service rate options for eligible customers in PECO’s Residential and
4 Small Commercial procurement classes (the “TOU Rates”) to comply with
5 PECO’s obligation under Act 129 of 2008 (“Act 129”) to offer TOU and real-time
6 rates to all default service customers with smart meters.¹

7 In addition, I explain PECO’s proposed tariff changes to facilitate shopping for
8 electric generation supply by customers who participate in PECO’s Customer
9 Assistance Program (“CAP”). I also describe the Company’s DSP V cost-
10 recovery proposal for its plans to implement both optional TOU default service
11 rates and its CAP Shopping Plan (“Plan”). Finally, I cover two topics that the
12 Commission asked electric distribution companies (“EDCs”) to address in
13 upcoming default service program (“DSP”) filings (adjustment of PECO’s Price-
14 to-Compare (“PTC”) for default service and TOU rate design).²

15 **7. Q. Please identify the exhibits you are sponsoring.**

16 A. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:

- 17 Exhibit JAB-1 System Peak Usage Analysis
- 18 Exhibit JAB-2 Pricing Analysis and TOU Pricing Multiplier Calculations
- 19 Exhibit JAB-3 TOU Period Allocator Calculations
- 20 Exhibit JAB-4 TOU Pricing Methodology – Illustrative Example

¹ 66 Pa.C.S. § 2807(f)(5). The hourly-priced default service rate for the Consolidated Large Commercial and Industrial (“C&I”) Class already meets Act 129 requirements.

² *Investigation into Default Serv. and PJM Interconnection, LLC Settlement Reforms*, Docket No. M-2019-3007101 (Secretarial Letter issued Jan. 23, 2020) (“January 2020 Secretarial Letter”).

1	Exhibit JAB-5	Net Metering, TOU Monthly Accounting and Cashout –
2		Illustrative Example
3	Exhibit JAB-6	DSP V Estimated Filing and Program Costs
4	Exhibit JAB-7	Revised Electric Service Tariff (Relevant Pages)
5	Exhibit JAB-8	Revised Electric Service Tariff (Blackline)
6	Exhibit JAB-9	Responses to Questions in 52 Pa. Code § 53.52(a)
7	Exhibit JAB-10	Electric PTC History, GSA 1 (Residential) and GSA 2
8		(Small C&I – Rate GS General Service), January 2011 –
9		Present

II. DEFAULT SERVICE RATE DESIGN

8. Q. Mr. Bisti, please provide an overview of PECO’s current default service rate design and the costs those rates recover.

A. Under DSP IV, PECO conducts competitive procurements of default service supply for three different customer classes (“procurement classes”):

- (i) Residential Class or “GSA 1” (Rate Schedules R and RH);
- (ii) Small Commercial Class or “GSA 2” with up to and including 100 kW of annual peak demand (Rate Schedules GS, PD, and HT) and lighting customers (Rate Schedules AL, POL, SLE, SLS, SLC, and TLCL); and
- (iii) Consolidated Large C&I Class or “GSA 3/4” whose annual peak demand is greater than 100 kW (Rate Schedules GS, PD, HT and EP).

Each default service rate consists of a generation and transmission component. The Generation Supply Adjustment (“GSA”) currently recovers generation supply costs, Alternative Energy Portfolio Standards (“AEPS”) compliance costs, and ancillary service costs. In addition, the GSA includes an administrative cost factor and a working capital factor. Administrative costs include the costs incurred by PECO to implement its Commission-approved programs designed to

1 enhance the competitive retail market. PECO allocates administrative costs to the
2 procurement classes based on default service supply sales unless a direct
3 assignment is required. The working capital component is a fixed price per kWh
4 that was established at 0.019¢ per kWh in the settlement of PECO’s last electric
5 distribution rate case at Docket No. R-2018-3000164.

6 PECO recovers Network Integration Transmission Service (“NITS”) and Non-
7 Firm Point-to-Point Transmission costs imposed by PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
8 (“PJM”), for transmission service that PECO acquires on behalf of default service
9 customers through the Company’s Transmission Service Charge (“TSC”).

10 The Commission’s Regulations (52 Pa. Code § 54.187(h)) provide that default
11 service rates may be adjusted no more frequently than quarterly for customers
12 with load requirements up to 25 kW. Those regulations (52 Pa. Code § 54.187(i))
13 also provide that default service rates shall be adjusted on a quarterly basis, or
14 more frequently, for customers with load requirements between 25 kW and 500
15 kW. Finally, the Commission’s regulations (52 Pa. Code § 54.187(j)) provide that
16 default service rates shall be adjusted on a monthly basis, or more frequently for
17 customers with load requirements equal to or greater than 500 kW.

18 **9. Q. Please describe how the Company’s default service rates are structured and**
19 **adjusted for customers with annual peak demand up to and including 100**
20 **kW.**

21 A. Under the current GSA approved by the Commission in DSP IV, PECO projects
22 the cost of generation supply for each customer class with annual peak of up to

1 and including 100 kW (i.e., residential and small commercial customers) on a
2 quarterly basis. Those GSA projection periods are synchronized with PJM's
3 planning year (June 1-May 31), corresponding to the quarters of June-August,
4 September-November, December-February, and March-May. The projected cost
5 of supply is a function of projected default service sales and projected
6 procurement costs under PECO's generation supply contracts. This projection,
7 combined with PECO's TSC, forms the basis of the PTC that customers may use
8 to evaluate competitive generation service offerings by EGSs.

9 PECO files the GSA for each quarter 45 days before the start of that quarter. In
10 accordance with its tariff, PECO compares its actual default service supply costs
11 to the billed revenue it receives from customers under the GSA for default
12 service. The GSA includes a charge or credit, known as the "E-Factor," for semi-
13 annual reconciliation of any over/undercollection of actual revenues against actual
14 costs for each procurement class. For example, PECO calculates the
15 over/undercollection for the six-month period January 1 through June 30 by July
16 15 and includes that amount in the E-Factor during the six-month period
17 beginning September 1. Interest on any overcollection and undercollection
18 accrues from the month of such over/undercollection to the midpoint of the refund
19 period in accordance with the Commission's default service regulations at 52 Pa.
20 Code § 54.190.³

³ Those regulations, adopted by the Commission in 2015, establish a symmetrical rate of interest applicable to both overcollections and undercollections resulting from the reconciliation of default service costs. Specifically, the applicable rate of interest for over/undercollections is the prime rate for commercial borrowing, not to exceed the legal rate of interest, in effect on the last day of the month the over/undercollection occurred,

1 **10. Q. Please describe how the Company’s default service rates are structured and**
2 **adjusted for commercial and industrial customers receiving hourly-priced**
3 **default service.**

4 A. Under DSP IV, commercial and industrial customers with annual peak demand
5 greater than 100 kW are supplied entirely by hourly priced products for
6 generation. These include the day-ahead hourly price of energy as well as a
7 demand charge based upon the reliability pricing model (“RPM”) implemented by
8 PJM. The individual customer’s RPM charges are based upon the customer’s
9 Peak Load Contribution and RPM prices.

10 Additionally, the costs of acquiring ancillary services from the PJM market,
11 AEPS compliance costs, an allocated portion of PECO’s banked AECs,
12 administrative costs and working capital are charged to these customers each
13 month. The Company provides an estimate of these components of hourly priced
14 default service rates, exclusive of energy and capacity costs, known as the
15 “Hourly Pricing Adder,” at least 45 days prior to the start of each quarter.

16 Under the current GSA, PECO reconciles any over/undercollection for customers
17 receiving hourly-priced default service on a semi-annual basis through the E-
18 Factor in the same manner as the Residential and Small Commercial Classes.

19 Likewise, interest on any over/undercollection accrues in the same manner and at
20 the same rate as for the Residential and Small Commercial Classes, as described
21 above.

as reported in *The Wall Street Journal*. See generally *Automatic Adjustment Clauses Related to Elec. Default Serv.*, Docket No. L-2014-2421001(Final Rulemaking Order entered June 11, 2015).

1 **11. Q. Has PECO implemented any strategy to mitigate fluctuations in the PTC**
2 **caused by over/under collections?**

3 A. Yes. Over/undercollections are driven by two factors: (1) the difference between
4 actual and projected supply costs and (2) billing cycle lag. Customer billing
5 cycles (mostly non-calendar months) are not perfectly aligned with the actual
6 incurrence of generation supply costs (mostly calendar months). Because
7 customers are billed at different times throughout the month, the revenue from the
8 month reflects sales from the subject month and the prior month that may have
9 experienced higher or lower usage. This billing cycle lag results in a timing
10 difference between revenue and expense that can produce significant fluctuations
11 in the PTC that are not directly related to the underlying cost of default service
12 supply. PECO uses a semi-annual, rather than quarterly, schedule for the
13 reconciliation of over/undercollection amounts for the Residential and Small
14 Commercial Classes to mitigate the potential volatility in default service rates for
15 these customers.

16 Billing lag is also the primary driver of fluctuations in the Consolidated Large
17 Commercial and Industrial Class PTC. Billing lag can cause a large
18 overcollection for commercial and industrial customers receiving hourly priced
19 default service in one month immediately followed by a large undercollection the
20 next month. Accordingly, PECO currently reconciles the E-Factor of the GSA for
21 those customers on a semi-annual, instead of a monthly, basis in the same manner
22 as over/undercollections are handled for the Residential and Small Commercial
23 Classes.

1 **12. Q. Is PECO proposing to maintain its existing default service rate design in DSP**
2 **V?**

3 A. Yes, with the addition of the optional TOU Rates for the Residential and Small
4 Commercial Classes. As discussed in detail in Section III below, under the
5 Company’s proposed TOU rate options, eligible default service customers will
6 pay a discounted rate for off-peak usage and a higher rate for peak usage relative
7 to PECO’s standard non-time varying default service rate.

8 **13. Q. Is PECO seeking a waiver of the Commission’s regulations to continue semi-**
9 **annual reconciliation of the over/undercollection component of the GSA?**

10 A. Yes. As I explained previously, the Commission’s Regulations (52 Pa. Code §§
11 54.187(h)-(j)) require adjustment of default service rates on a quarterly basis, or
12 more frequently, for customers with load requirements up to 500 kW and on a
13 monthly basis, or more frequently, for customers with load requirements above
14 500 kW. However, the Commission has recognized that more extended periods
15 for over/undercollection reconciliation may help keep default rates more market-
16 reflective,⁴ and the Commission granted PECO a waiver from these regulations in
17 DSP IV to implement a semi-annual E-Factor reconciliation for the Residential,
18 Small Commercial and Consolidated Large Commercial and Industrial Classes.⁵
19 PECO again requests a waiver of these regulations, to the extent necessary, to
20 maintain its current semi-annual reconciliation schedule for the Residential and

⁴ See *Investigation of Pennsylvania’s Retail Elec. Mkt.: Recommendations Regarding Upcoming Default Serv. Plans*, Docket No. I-2011-2237952, at pp. 54-55 (Order entered Dec. 16, 2011).

⁵ *Petition of PECO Energy Co. for Approval of its Default Serv. Program for the Period from June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2021*, Docket No. P-2016-2534980 (Opinion and Order entered Dec. 8, 2016) (“DSP IV Order”), p. 67.

1 Small Commercial procurement classes throughout DSP V to continue to mitigate
2 potential default service rate volatility that may otherwise result from billing cycle
3 lag.

4 **14. Q. Is PECO seeking any other waiver of the Commission’s Regulations to**
5 **implement the proposed DSP V rate design?**

6 A. Yes. In the DSP IV Order (p. 67), the Commission granted PECO a waiver of its
7 Regulations (52 Pa. Code § 54.187(j)) to implement a quarterly, instead of
8 monthly, filing schedule for Consolidated Large Commercial and Industrial Class
9 default service rates in the same manner and at the same time as the Residential
10 and Small Commercial Class default service rates. To the extent necessary,
11 PECO again requests a waiver to continue to align the filing schedule for
12 Consolidated Large Commercial and Industrial Class default service rates with
13 PECO’s other procurement classes and reduce administrative burden on both the
14 Company and Commission Staff.

15 **III. TIME-OF-USE RATE OPTIONS**

16 **15. Q. Does PECO currently offer TOU rate options to Residential or Small**
17 **Commercial default service customers under DSP IV?**

18 A. No. PECO previously offered a TOU generation rate through a PUC-approved,
19 one-year pilot program known as the “PECO Smart Time Pricing Pilot” (“Pilot”).⁶
20 The primary objectives of the Pilot were to gauge customer interest in a TOU rate,

⁶ *Petition of PECO Energy Co. for Approval of its Initial Dynamic Pricing and Customer Acceptance Plan*,
Docket No. M-2009-2123944 (Order entered Apr. 15, 2011) (“Dynamic Pricing Order”).

1 assess the reasons why customers chose to enroll in or leave the program, and
2 evaluate the impact of TOU rates on electricity consumption patterns.

3 The Pilot offered eligible customers a two-part TOU generation rate, a bill
4 protection feature based upon PECO's default service rate at the time, and the
5 option to leave the Pilot at any time without incurring cancellation fees or
6 penalties. The two-part Pilot TOU rate structure offered a higher rate during non-
7 holiday weekday afternoons from 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. and a reduced rate for all other
8 hours of the year. The EGS selected through a competitive procurement process
9 served as the TOU commodity supplier and implementation vendor for the pilot.⁷

10 The Pilot was offered to nearly 121,000 residential customers and over 3,500
11 small commercial customers from September 1, 2013 through November 1, 2013.
12 In total, 4,779 residential customers and 23 small commercial customers enrolled,
13 representing about 4% of the targeted population.

14 **16. Q. Please summarize the key findings of the PECO Smart Time Pricing Pilot.**

15 A. End-of-pilot survey and focus group results revealed that the main driver of
16 customer interest and satisfaction with the Pilot was the opportunity to save
17 money on their electric bills. Most residential customers who enrolled in the Pilot
18 took action to shift consumption away from peak hours and saved money as a
19 result, with monthly bill savings exceeding \$5 for more than 2,350 customers.

20 Only thirteen customers needed bill protection reimbursement from PECO, and

⁷ *Petition of PECO Energy Co. for Expedited Approval of its Dynamic Pricing Plan Vendor Selection and Dynamic Pricing Plan Supplement*, Docket No. P-2012-2297304 (Opinion and Order entered Sept. 26, 2012) (approving modifications to the commodity supply, dynamic rate structure, size and term of the pilot approved in the Dynamic Pricing Order to enable an EGS to provide TOU supply in lieu of PECO).

1 the total amount refunded was very small (just over \$100 in the total program,
2 with individual amounts ranging from \$1.01 to \$19.23).

3 The Pilot delivered an average load reduction of 6% per customer during peak
4 hours from June 2014 through August 2014, with load reductions in the 3%-4%
5 range during September and spring months (March through May). The greatest
6 load impact results came from customers who shifted large appliance and
7 heating/cooling energy use outside of peak hours.

8 **17. Q. Why is PECO proposing the new TOU Rates?**

9 A. Since the Pilot, the scope of an EDC's obligation to offer TOU rates to default
10 service customers was the subject of litigation before the Commission and
11 Commonwealth Court.⁸ Following this litigation, the Commission proposed a
12 new TOU structure for PPL to satisfy Act 129 requirements.⁹ The Commission
13 noted that the proposed TOU design for PPL "may provide future guidance to all
14 EDCs" for incorporation into their own TOU proposals in their individual default
15 service proceedings.¹⁰ At the same time, the PUC made clear that EDCs would
16 have "the flexibility to propose other alternatives and/or modifications regarding
17 their TOU operations" for PUC review and approval in future DSP filings.¹¹

⁸ See *Petition of PPL Elec. Utils. Corp. for Approval of a New Pilot Time-of-Use Program*, Docket No. P-2013-2389572 (Order entered Sept. 11, 2014) (holding that Act 129 did not require PPL Electric Utilities Corp. ("PPL") to offer TOU rates directly to customer-generators); *Dauphin Cty. Indus. Dev. Auth. v. Pa. P.U.C.*, 123 A.3d 1124, 1136 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2015) ("DCIDA") (holding that Act 129 does not authorize default service providers to delegate the obligation to offer TOU rates to customers with smart meters to EGSs).

⁹ *Petition of PPL Elec. Utils. Corp. for Approval of a New Pilot Time-of-Use Program*, Docket Nos. P-2013-2389572 and M-2016-2578051 (Secretarial Letter issued Apr. 6, 2017) ("April 2017 Secretarial Letter").

¹⁰ *Id.*, p. 4.

¹¹ *Id.*

1 **18. Q. What are the objectives underlying PECO’s proposed TOU Rates?**

2 A. In addition to the guidance provided in the April 2017 Secretarial Letter, PECO
3 considered the following objectives in designing the Company’s proposed TOU
4 Rates to comply with Act 129 requirements and to implement lessons learned
5 from the Pilot:

6 1. **Simplicity and value proposition for customer enrollment.** TOU rates
7 can help customers reduce electricity bills by incentivizing customers to
8 shift usage to lower-cost, off-peak hours. However, customers are more
9 likely to enroll in and respond effectively to TOU rates if they understand
10 the TOU rate structure and related potential for savings.

11 2. **Retail-to-wholesale market connection.** On February 26, 2019, the
12 Commission entered an Order at Docket No. M-2019-3007101 to initiate
13 an investigation of potential opportunities to better reflect wholesale cost
14 causation in default service rates and incentivize customer behavior to
15 lower peak demand. To that end, PECO considered cost-causation
16 principles in developing its proposed TOU Rates to connect the product
17 pricing structure to the PJM energy and capacity markets, as well as the
18 generation component of PECO’s default service rates, i.e., the GSA.

19 3. **Incentives for customer electric vehicle (“EV”) adoption.** In the past
20 five years, the number of known EV operators in PECO’s service territory
21 has grown significantly, with the number of EVs registered through
22 PECO’s Smart Driver Rebate program increasing from 3,000 customers to

over 11,000 customers. In the January 2020 Secretarial Letter (p. 6), the PUC observed that EV use will increase across the Commonwealth in the coming decades. Based on this observation, the Commission directed EDCs to explore TOU rates in the context of EV expansion and consider whether “the lack of TOU rate offerings for operators of EVs presents a barrier to EV adoption.”¹² In order to address the Commission’s guidance, PECO’s proposed TOU Rates include a super off-peak pricing period to encourage EV charging during overnight low-priced energy hours and, in turn, lower the overall total cost of EV ownership.

19. Q. What are the key features of PECO’s proposed TOU Rates?

A. As shown in the table below, PECO’s proposed TOU Rates differentiate prices across three periods (peak, off-peak and super off-peak) that remain constant year-round based on price multipliers designed to motivate shifting of usage from the higher-cost peak period to lower-cost off-peak periods. The TOU pricing periods are identical for the Residential and Small Commercial Classes.

<u>TOU Pricing Period</u>	<u>Year-Round Days/Hours Included</u>
Peak	2 p.m. – 6 p.m. Monday Through Friday, excluding PJM holidays
Super Off-Peak	Midnight (12 a.m.) – 6 a.m. Every day
Off-Peak	All other hours

¹² January 2020 Secretarial Letter, p. 7.

1 The proposed TOU rate design is structured to establish a rate premium above
2 PECO's standard, fixed-price default service rate for usage during the peak period
3 and rate discounts from this baseline price for usage during two off-peak periods.
4 The baseline price is the customer's applicable GSA rate, prior to application of
5 the TOU price multipliers discussed later in my testimony.

6 **20. Q. What customers are eligible for PECO's TOU Rates?**

7 A. Consistent with the April 2017 Secretarial Letter, PECO's TOU Rate will be
8 available, with limited exceptions, to default service customers with smart meters
9 who are not receiving hourly priced default service (i.e., the Residential and Small
10 Commercial Classes). As a prerequisite for enrollment, PECO must be able to
11 configure the customer's smart meter to measure energy consumption in watt-
12 hours. The customer must have a valid e-mail address to ensure that the
13 Company is able to provide the enrolled TOU customers with timely and
14 meaningful communications regarding their savings performance. Residential
15 customers enrolled in PECO's CAP will not be eligible for the residential TOU
16 Rate at this time.

17 **21. Q. Please explain why CAP customers will not be offered the residential TOU**
18 **Rate.**

19 A. As explained by Ms. Reilly in PECO Statement No. 3, CAP customers receive a
20 fixed bill credit each year for the utility service they receive based on their ability
21 to pay regardless of the actual amount of their utility bill. The selection of the
22 TOU Rate could adversely impact those benefits because CAP customers may not
23 have the flexibility to shift usage outside of the higher-priced peak period. In

1 addition, a CAP customer's evaluation of whether CAP benefits outweigh the
2 potential bill savings under a TOU rate is dependent on PECO's current CAP
3 design, which may change during the DSP V term.¹³ Therefore, PECO is
4 proposing to exclude CAP customers from the TOU Rate at this time to avoid the
5 risk of higher generation charges on those customers' electric bills that could
6 jeopardize affordability and impose an unreasonable cost burden on all residential
7 customers that pay for the CAP.

8 **22. Q. How did PECO determine the number and times of the price-differentiated**
9 **usage periods?**

10 A. PECO's proposed TOU pricing structure is designed to reasonably encompass the
11 expected system peak usage times while addressing the need for simplicity to
12 encourage customer enrollment. The Company examined PJM's PECO zonal
13 load data and energy prices over a five-year historic period (2014-2018). As
14 shown on Exhibit JAB-1, system peak usage generally occurred during weekdays
15 over five months of the year (May-September). Over the 2014-2018 period, the
16 hours between 2 p.m. and 6 p.m. from May through September tended to have the
17 highest system loads. Similarly, between May and September each year, energy
18 prices in general were higher during these four hours of the day.

19 Based on this data, PECO defined the peak period as 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. on non-
20 holiday weekdays. PECO selected the same year-round peak period employed in
21 its Pilot, in which, as I previously explained, participating customers successfully

¹³ The Commission's Bureau of Consumer Services and Law Bureau have been directed to prepare a comprehensive universal service rulemaking order no later than the first quarter of 2020. *See Universal Serv. Rulemaking*, Docket No. L-2019-3012600 (Order entered Jan. 2, 2020).

1 responded to the TOU price signals to shift usage and achieve bill savings. The
2 proposed peak period also allows for material price differentials that will be more
3 likely to motivate customers to shift consumption to lower-priced (off-peak)
4 hours.

5 Consistent with the January 2020 Secretarial Letter, PECO's proposed TOU Rates
6 include a super off-peak pricing window to provide cost savings opportunities for
7 EV operators. Based on PECO's system load patterns, the super off-peak period
8 is defined as 12 a.m. to 6 a.m. every day to encourage EV charging within times
9 of low energy prices.

10 **23. Q. Why is PECO proposing year-round price-differentiated usage periods even**
11 **though the April 2017 Secretarial Letter recommends seasonal variation?**

12 A. PECO is proposing to apply the TOU Rates year round based on the results of the
13 Pilot. This design is easier for customers to understand and reduces the number
14 of variables for customers to consider in changing their consumption patterns. It
15 also simplifies the development of TOU price ratios. PECO believes the year-
16 round nature of its proposed TOU Rates strikes a balance reflective of periods that
17 include the system peak while remaining more convenient and actionable for
18 customers.

19 **24. Q. How did PECO develop the price ratios that will used to set TOU rates for**
20 **the peak, off-peak and super off-peak usage periods?**

21 A. In the April 2017 Secretarial Letter, the Commission recommended that EDCs
22 develop price multipliers to appropriately motivate shifting of consumption from

1 on-peak to off-peak periods. To that end, PECO first examined five years of
2 historical PJM Day-Ahead Spot Market Pricing data (2014-2018) for the PECO
3 Zone to calculate the ratios of (1) the average annual peak price to the average
4 annual super off-peak price, and (2) the average annual off-peak price to the
5 average annual super off-peak price.

6 In addition to wholesale energy prices, the calculation of TOU rates depends on
7 the cost of capacity, which varies by procurement class. PECO is proposing to
8 allocate the cost of capacity to peak hours and off-peak hours only. This
9 approach will send cost-based price signals and create larger peak/off-peak price
10 differentials that are more likely to motivate customers to adjust the time of day
11 they use electricity. PECO allocated capacity costs to peak hours using the
12 percentage of the average daily PECO zonal capacity obligation under PJM's five
13 coincident peak ("5CP") methodology¹⁴ over the historic five-year period (2014-
14 2018). PECO calculated these percentages (the "Capacity Cost Allocators")
15 based on the average of the highest hourly demand (in MWh) during the proposed
16 TOU peak pricing period (2-6 p.m.) on each of the PJM 5CP days. PECO added
17 the remaining percentage of capacity costs to the respective off-peak pricing
18 multiplier.

19 Based on the foregoing analyses, PECO is proposing to set the TOU price
20 multipliers for each procurement class shown in the table below. These
21 multipliers will remain constant throughout the DSP V term. The proposed

¹⁴ The 5CPs are the five highest daily PJM peak loads for each summer (June 1 through September 30).

1 multipliers reflect the ratios calculated from average PJM PECO zone spot market
 2 prices as well as the cost of capacity during peak hours. Detailed calculations of
 3 the Company’s proposed TOU pricing multipliers are provided in Exhibit JAB-2.

<u>TOU Pricing Period</u>	<u>GSA-1 TOU Pricing Multipliers*</u>	<u>GSA-2 TOU Pricing Multipliers*</u>
Peak	6.5	5.1
Super Off-Peak	1	1
Off-Peak	1.5	1.7

4 *Ratio to super off-peak TOU price

5 **25. Q. Mr. Bisti, how will the TOU Rates be set for each procurement class using**
 6 **the Company’s proposed pricing differentials?**

7 A. As explained by Mr. McCawley in PECO Statement No. 1, PECO will source the
 8 residential and small commercial customers’ standard and TOU default service
 9 from the same supply portfolio for each procurement class. PECO will continue
 10 to calculate the standard GSA on a quarterly basis based on the results of these
 11 procurements and use the standard GSA as the reference price for PECO’s TOU
 12 rate calculations. The super off-peak price will be calculated to provide a
 13 discount from the standard GSA price in a way that offsets the higher peak and
 14 off-peak period prices and ensures revenue neutrality. The revenue neutral super
 15 off-peak price for each procurement class is derived from the portion of total
 16 system kWh usage attributable to each TOU pricing period. PECO determined
 17 these percentages (the “TOU Period Allocators”) described in Exhibit JAB-3

1 based on PJM energy market settlements over the most recent historical five-year
2 period (2014-2018).

3 The peak and off-peak TOU prices are a factor of multiplying the super off-peak
4 price by the multiplier for the applicable procurement class and TOU pricing
5 period. Exhibit JAB-4 provides an illustration of the TOU Rate for residential
6 customers based on the proposed TOU pricing multipliers for DSP V and the
7 GSA rate effective as of March 1, 2020.

8 **26. Q. Please describe how default service rates will be adjusted for customers**
9 **enrolled in the Company's TOU Rate.**

10 A. The TOU Rates will be calculated on a quarterly basis, synchronized with the
11 GSA adjustment periods for the Residential and Small Commercial class, using
12 the Company's proposed pricing methodology. TOU customer kWh sales and
13 costs will be included in the semi-annual reconciliation of the
14 over/undercollection component of the GSA for the entire procurement class (i.e.,
15 Residential or Small Commercial). PECO's proposed reconciliation process
16 using a single E-Factor for each procurement class will help mitigate potential
17 large swings in GSA over/undercollections that could arise if customers switch
18 between PECO's standard default service rate and TOU default service rate. In
19 addition, the Commission has previously authorized other EDCs to recover TOU

1 over/undercollection amounts from all default service customers based on its
2 finding that the TOU rates mandated by Act 129 are a “form of default service.”¹⁵

3 **27. Q. Will customer-generators in the Residential and Small Commercial Classes**
4 **who employ net metering be eligible for the TOU Rates?**

5 A. Yes. Customer-generators who employ net metering will be eligible for the TOU
6 Rate, consistent with the April 2017 Secretarial Letter. Customer-generators who
7 employ virtual net metering will not be eligible due to the administrative
8 complexity of offering TOU rates to those customers.

9 **28. Q. Please explain the monthly accounting and annual cash out process for net**
10 **metering TOU customers.**

11 A. In each billing month, PECO will separately track net excess generation created
12 by TOU net-metering customers within the TOU peak, off-peak and super off-
13 peak periods. Any excess generation will be banked for use by the customer in
14 subsequent billing periods. During any month when a TOU net metering
15 customer consumes power in excess of the power generated by its facilities, the
16 excess generation in the applicable TOU rate period will be used to reduce or
17 offset the customer’s bill at the full retail rate, including the current TOU prices
18 for generation, in accordance with the Commission’s guidance in the April 2017
19 Secretarial Letter.

20 At the end of the PJM planning period on May 31 of each year, a TOU net
21 metering customer’s accumulated excess generation will be cashed out based on

¹⁵ See *Pa. P.U.C. v. PPL Elec. Utils. Corp.*, Docket No. R-2011-2264771 (Opinion and Order entered Aug. 30, 2012), pp. 22-23.

1 the applicable TOU rate and TSC in effect at the time that the excess electricity
2 was generated. Exhibit JAB-5 provides a detailed example of how PECO will
3 calculate the TOU net metering customer's total end-of-year compensation.

4 **29. Q. How can customers enroll in PECO's TOU rate options?**

5 A. Eligible default service customers may enroll in PECO's TOU Rates online or
6 through the Company's Care Center. Customers will not be charged enrollment
7 fees and may cancel TOU service at any time without a penalty or fee.
8 Participating customers will remain on the TOU Rate until they affirmatively
9 elect to return to PECO's standard default service rate, switch to an EGS, or
10 otherwise become ineligible.

11 **30. Q. Is PECO proposing any restrictions to reduce "free riders" who enroll in a
12 TOU rate only for times of the year when they do not have to shift usage to
13 save money?**

14 A. Yes. TOU customers leaving the TOU Rate for any reason will be precluded
15 from re-enrolling in the TOU Rate for twelve billing months after switching off
16 the TOU Rate.

17 **31. Q. Please describe PECO's communications plan to inform customers about the
18 new TOU Rates and update enrolled TOU customers about the opportunity
19 for bill savings.**

20 A. PECO's communications plan will focus on introducing the educational tools and
21 information to help customers make a rate choice that works best for them. In
22 accordance with the Commission's guidance in the April 2017 Secretarial Letter,

1 PECO will establish a web page dedicated to the Company's TOU Rate that will
2 summarize the new TOU Rates, describe tips and ideas on how to shift electricity
3 use, and provide information about how customers can determine the effect of the
4 TOU Rates on their monthly electricity bills. PECO's communications plan will
5 also include a one-time bill insert and targeted outreach to the customers who
6 have registered EVs with PECO to introduce the new TOU Rates and instruct
7 customers on how to obtain more information.

8 PECO will distribute progress letters via e-mail to enrolled TOU customers on a
9 monthly basis that will update customers on their current savings on the TOU
10 Rate and remind customers about the mechanics of the TOU Rates. In addition,
11 PECO will provide quarterly updates on TOU generation prices on its website,
12 concurrently with standard, fixed-price GSA updates, and in the Company's
13 quarterly GSA filings with the Commission.

14 **32. Q. Has PECO estimated the cost to implement its proposed TOU rate options?**

15 A. Yes. As shown on Exhibit JAB-6, the Company anticipates that it will incur two
16 categories of costs totaling approximately \$3.8 million (based on preliminary
17 costs estimates) to implement the TOU Rates. First, PECO will incur costs
18 related to training and information technology ("IT") changes to the Company's
19 billing and customer information systems to support TOU enrollment, billing,
20 meter data management, customer self-service, Care Center scripting, and net
21 metering excess generation tracking and compensation. The second category of
22 expenditures is for customer communications, including care center scripting.

1 This cost estimate is based on PECO's proposed TOU rate design and PECO will
2 recover the actual costs from customers through the administrative cost factor of
3 the GSA as described in Section IV of my direct testimony.

4 **33. Q. When will PECO's proposed TOU Rates be available to eligible customers?**

5 A. PECO proposes to implement the TOU Rates at least twelve months following the
6 Commission's final Order in this proceeding. This implementation timeline will
7 allow sufficient time for the Company to develop customer education materials
8 and complete IT programming necessary to implement the final TOU rate design
9 approved by the PUC in this proceeding.

10 **IV. RECOVERY OF DEFAULT SERVICE PROGRAM AND CUSTOMER**
11 **ASSISTANCE PROGRAM SHOPPING PLAN IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

12 **34. Q. Is PECO entitled to full and current recovery of all costs associated with DSP**
13 **V?**

14 A. Yes. In accordance with Section 2807(e)(3.9) of the Code, PECO is formally
15 requesting that the Commission expressly affirm PECO's right to full and current
16 recovery of all costs of DSP V.

17 **35. Q. Is the Company seeking to continue to recover the cost of its default service**
18 **proceedings through the GSA?**

19 A. Yes. Consistent with the Commission's Policy Statement at 69 Pa. Code §
20 69.1808(a)(4) and the current GSA, the cost of this proceeding, including
21 consultant fees, attorney fees, and costs related to IT changes, will be recovered
22 through the GSA as an expense over the DSP V term. The estimated

1 administrative cost, including the costs to implement the Company's proposed
2 TOU Rates during the DSP V term, is delineated on Exhibit JAB-6.

3 **36. Q. How does PECO propose to recover Standard Offer Program costs during**
4 **DSP V?**

5 A. Consistent with PECO's existing tariff and the DSP IV Order (p. 67), the
6 Company proposes to continue to recover Standard Offer Program costs through
7 an EGS participant fee not to exceed \$30 per referred customer, with any
8 remaining costs recovered in the following manner: (1) fifty percent from EGSs
9 through a Purchase Of Receivables discount; and (2) fifty percent from
10 Residential and Small Commercial default service customers via the GSA.

11 **37. Q. Mr. Bisti, please describe PECO's proposed mechanism to recover the CAP**
12 **Shopping Plan implementation costs described by Ms. Reilly in PECO**
13 **Statement No. 3.**

14 A. The Company is proposing to recover the costs associated with the customer-
15 education initiatives included in the CAP Shopping Plan from residential
16 customers in the current Consumer Education Charge approved by the
17 Commission in Docket No. P-2011-2279773. The Company proposes to recover
18 the remaining Plan implementation costs, which consist primarily of IT changes,
19 from residential customers in a subsequent base rate case.

1 **39. Q. Does PECO’s CAP Rider need to be revised to allow CAP customers to**
2 **receive competitive generation supply?**

3 A. Yes. PECO is proposing to eliminate the current restriction on availability of the
4 CAP Rider to customers who obtain competitive energy supply. *See* Exhibit
5 JAB-8.

6 **40. Q. Has the Company submitted responses to the questions regarding changes to**
7 **its tariff required by the Commission’s Regulations?**

8 A. Yes. Exhibit JAB-9 provides the Company’s responses to the questions in 52 Pa.
9 Code § 53.52(a).

10 **VI. JANUARY 2020 SECRETARIAL LETTER TOPICS**

11 **41. Q. Did PECO consider the potential benefits of a semi-annual, instead of**
12 **quarterly, adjustment schedule for default service rates based on the history**
13 **of the Company’s PTC as directed by the January 2020 Secretarial Letter?**

14 A. Yes. PECO examined its electric PTC for the Residential Class and customers on
15 Rate GS in the Small Commercial Class since PECO implemented its first DSP
16 on January 1, 2011. Exhibit JAB-10 presents PECO’s PTC history by quarter and
17 procurement class. PECO assessed the benefits presented by both a six-month
18 and three-month default supply price projection period in the context of the
19 Company’s PTC history. While a semi-annual schedule may offer several
20 benefits, including less administrative complexity, quarterly changes to the PTC
21 allow for more incremental adjustments consistent with the ratemaking principle
22 of gradualism and to insulate customers from potential larger rate changes. Based

1 on the Company's analysis, PECO is proposing to continue to adjust its default
2 service rates each quarter, with semi-annual reconciliation of the E-Factor at this
3 time. PECO believes its current approach appropriately balances the
4 responsiveness of the PTC to current market conditions and fluctuations caused
5 by billing lag.

6 **42. Q. How is PECO proposing to make TOU rates available to EV operators**
7 **during DSP V?**

8 A. As explained in Section III above, PECO's proposed TOU rate design includes a
9 super off-peak pricing time window that features discounted rates that would be
10 attractive for EV charging during the designated hours.

11 VII. CONCLUSION

12 **43. Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

13 A. Yes.